

**Student Life Committee Minutes
October 9, 1997**

All members present.
Committee chair Mace opened meeting at 3:05 p.m.

M/S/A minutes of previous SLC meeting.

Chair Mace discussed the Senate's 4th charge to the SLC. Senate Chair Grace Kirchner tried to clarify the charge. Our charge is to examine whether the objectives put forth in the Trustees and campus committees' guidelines for the Greek system more than 10 years ago have been met.

J. Kay discussed the work done by the current Greek Partnership Committee. She suggested we look over the Greeks relationship to independents on campus.

Mace suggested we get copies of the Board documents and look at the objectives that we written then--and proceed from there. We will be getting notebooks to organize this material.

Smith raised the question: how are we to know if the guidelines have been met. What is the problem or concern we are to deal with--why are we doing this?

Anton suggested that the charge originated at the fall faculty meeting when David Drogee and Chris Ives raised questions about the proposed work that would be done on Greek houses on Union Ave.

Mace suggested that we were not trying to redefine committee objectives. Kay and Anton agreed.

Kay suggested that this review might complement the 10 year accreditation report now being prepared by the university. She also wondered if "deferred rush" for instance, is clear and working. She said that some groups wanted more contact with freshmen.

The topic shifted to a discussion of the first charge to the SLC to develop and facilitate the cocurriculum around the campus. Mace suggested that he prefers not to do anything with these--that there are lots of co-curricular activities to enhance and instill in students an intellectual atmosphere. He wondered if there are too many things for students to do. Balaam and Washburn noted that a great many events are not as well attended as they might be. Do we need more activities if these events are not well attended?

Washburn asked why students weren't attending.

Balaam asked if we should either challenge or redefine the charge. Are there too many as opposed to too few opportunities for students?

Washburn noted that freshmen don't get as much attention as majors or upper division students. Balaam argued that in the first year students were relatively unsure about what kinds of activities they wanted to pursue.

The meeting ended at 3:55 with Mace and Smith noting that they were expected at a Thompson Hall co-curricular activity.

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Balaam