COMM 107 Rhetoric, F Oral Presentation #2: Fi Evaluation Sheet [20%]	ilm Contexts (V			Spring 2017 Prof. Owen			
Speaker's Name: Speaker Works	Cited of at lea	 st four sources (n	ninimum 2 fror	n course reading):			
Speaker provided speaker notes after speech:							
Speaker provided visual support for the audience:							
Speaker stayed within the time limitations of the assignment:							
1		Quality of th	•				
Organization:							
Organization.							
Body: The speech (oral + script) was clearly focused, well organized and easy to follow. Main points were clear, sub-points developed the main points; transitions were clear. The speaker's logic was clear.							
Introduction: The speak presentation, connected covered.	-	-		stated the purpose of the preview) of main points to be			
Conclusion: The speaker summarized her or his main ideas, connected with the audience, and concluded the presentation gracefully. The speaker handled questions gracefully.							
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
Balance : The speech was a fair, balanced and reliable presentation of some contextual aspect of the Vietnam War and films about the war.							
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
Support: The presentation was supported by clear explanation, sufficient detail, authoritative sources (orally and in writing). The speaker cited sources orally where needed (signal phrasing).							
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
Language Use: All tech properly.	hnical or unfam	niliar terms were	explained. Dif	ficult words were pronounced			
Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
information presented.	o connect with The speaker ma	y have made refe	erences to the v	ne relevance and importance of the work of other students or common <i>Coming Home</i> or <i>Born on the 4th</i> of			

of July or Platoon or made connections to relevant historical contexts.

Excellent Good Fair Poor

Answering Questions:

The speaker answered questions carefully and clearly. If the speaker encountered a question s/he/they could not answer, the speaker responded gracefully and effectively. The speaker was attentive to audience questions.

Excellent Good Fair Poor

Speaker Notes: The speaker notes conform to stated criteria:

Structure:Very Well DoneNeeds Some WorkNeeds quite a bit of workDetail:Very Well DoneNeeds Some WorkNeeds quite a bit of workCitation:Very Well DoneNeeds Some WorkNeeds quite a bit of work

<u>Delivery</u>: The speaker tried to engage the audience through eye contact, conversational style, facial expressiveness, vocal expressiveness, hand/arm gestures. The speaker spoke at an appropriate volume and pace. The speaker controlled potentially distracting mannerisms (touching hair and face, excessive shifting, hands in pockets, and so forth). The speaker seemed prepared & composed. [see below]

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
<u>DELIVERY</u>							
Conversational Style:	OK	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Eye Contact:	OK	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Facial Expressiveness:	OK	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Hand/arm gestures:	ОК	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Vocal Expressiveness:	ОК	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Pace:	ОК	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Enunciation/Articulation	n OK	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Pronunciation of terms:	ОК	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			
Volume	ОК	Needs a little	work	Needs a lot of work			
Visual Support	ОК	Needs a little	work.	Needs a lot of work.			

OVERALL COMMENTS: See attached notes.